

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/814,405	03/31/2004	Fred C. Redeker	AMAT/2890.C3/CMP/CMP/RKK 5390		
7	590 02/17/2005		EXAMINER		
Applied Materials			NGUYEN, GEORGE BINH MINH		
Patent Counsel Legal Affairs Department			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
P.O. Box 450A			3723		
Santa Clara, C	A 95052		DATE MAILED: 02/17/200	DATE MAILED: 02/17/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	6			
Office Action Summary		10/814,405	REDEKER ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
	-	George Nguyen	3723				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication						
Period for							
THE - External and afternal and	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR IT MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day to period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the delight part of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed into (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communicated the communicated (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	ı					
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 23-30 is/are pending in the apple 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 23-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	ithdrawn from consideration.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[
	Applicant may not request that any objection						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to by	·					
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Election for See the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have bee Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachmer	• •	4) 🗖 Intension	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice 3) Information	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ er No(s)/Mail Date 111704, 070604.	48) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/814,405

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Receipt is acknowledged of Applicant's amendment filed on March 31. 2004.

Claims 1-22 were canceled. Claims 23-30 were added, thus are presented for examination.

Receipt is acknowledged of the IDS filed on July 06, 2004 and November 17, 2004 which have been considered and placed of record in the file.

This application has been filed with formal drawings which are acceptable to the examiner.

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 23-30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-13 of U.S. Patent No. 6,179,709 and claims 5-8 and 13-16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,585,563. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the subject matter of the application claims is fully disclosed in the patent

Application/Control Number: 10/814,405

Art Unit: 3723

application and covered by the patented claims. The patented claims are inclusive for they are drafted using the "comprising-style" format and cover the subject matter of the application claims. Since application has obtained the right to exclude others from making or using the subject matter set forth in the claims of this application by virtue of the patented claims, the issuance of the application into the patent without a terminal disclaimer as provided for under 37 CFR section 1.321 (b) would amount to an extension of this right.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to George Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-4491. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday/630AM-300PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/814,405

Art Unit: 3723

George Nguyen Primary Examiner

GN - February 15, 2005

Page 4

Art Unit 3723